

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/772,014	02/04/2004	Karl Manser	M0215.0001/P001	4081	
•	9590 03/28/2007 HAPIRO MORIN & OS	EXAMINER			
Richard LaCava			CROW, STEPHEN R		
1177 Avenue of 41st Floor	the Americas		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY 10036-2714			3764		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 03/28/2007		PAP	ER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		ો			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/772,014	MANSER, KARL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Steve R. Crow	3764			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Ja</u>	nuary 2007.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		•			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-8,14-16</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>9-13</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	alection requirement	·			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	* ' '				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2-4-04	6)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1-8,14-18 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 1-8-07.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 9,10,12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim in view of Dolan.

Kim discloses a treadmill belt 250 having a plurality of projections.

Dolan teaches the general concept of a plurality of walking surfaces which have different textures and densities and which can be used individually or in a serial path.

The examiner contends that, if view of the Dolan kit teaching, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the exercise art to provide a second or plurality of belts having different characteristics, such as different heights, for providing a change in difficulty or experience while on the treadmill.

4. Claims 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim in view of Dolan, as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Shorten et al.

Art Unit: 3764

Shorten et al states in paragraph 41: The indentations and inserts can be adapted to make one part of the shock absorbing structure stiffer in compression than the other part. There are a number of different ways to provide this difference in compression. A smaller hemisphere radius may be used for the indentations on one part of the structure. Inserts made of a material with a higher modulus of elasticity may be used in the indentations on one part of the structure. Alternatively, inserts with greater wall thickness may be used for the indentations on one part of the structure.

Given this teaching, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the Kim treadmill belts by utilizing a different modulus of elasticilty for producing a different effect during locomotion on the treadmill belts.

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve R. Crow whose telephone number is 571-272-4973. The examiner can normally be reached on Reg:8:30-6;Off First Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jackie Ho can be reached on 571-272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,014

Art Unit: 3764

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SC

Stephen R. Crow Primary Examiner

mbns